Mr. M. VENKATESA IYENGAR.—No, that is why there is this check-gate there.

Mr. K. VENKATARAMIAH.—Are not about 20 villages inconvenienced by the location of a check-gate here?

Mr. PRESIDENT.—We shall inquire if it is so.

Mr. K. VENKATARAMIAH.—With reference to answer to (*d*), is it not desirable to prescribe a distance limit between the main toll-gate and the check-gate?

The REVENUE COMMISSIONER (Mr. K. V. Anantaraman).—We opened the check-gate after the contractor represented that a good many carts were evading payment. If specific instances of hardship are brought to notice, necessary action will be taken.

Mr. H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—In cases where the distance between the main gate and the check-gate is as much as 3 miles, will exemptions be granted to the villagers from the levy of toll on their carts?

Mr. M. VENKATESA IYENGAR.—There is the usual exemption which applies to carts coming from within 5 miles of the toll-gate.

Mr. H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—Is such exemption being granted at the Sathehalli check-gate?

Mr. M. VENKATESA IYENGAR.—It should be. Government do not know that it is not given.

Mr. H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—Why not fix a distance limit between the main and the check-gates?

Mr. M. VENKATESA IYENGAR.—This is not possible. The distance depends on the particular locality.

Mr. K. V. ANANTARAMAN.—Near Kodachadri, for example, the distance is about 6 to 7 miles, and to have two frontier gates would mean greater inconvenience to villagers. So it is not possible to fix the distance as suggested.

Mr. H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—Why not give exemptions in such cases?

Mr. M. VENKATESA IYENGAR.—Exemptions are provided for. If it is stated that it is not, the matter will be inquired into.

ANOTHER MEMBER.—The Talaguppe toll-gate is about 8 miles away from the village. The inconvenience to people is still greater. Will Government see that exemptions are granted to the villages?

The FIRST MEMBER (Rajamantrapravina S. P. Rajagopalachari)—We shall inquire into that case also.

Remissions in the Arsikere Taluk.

Q.—26. Mr. MARULASIDDAPPA (Arsikere Taluk).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) how many applications had been received for remission of wet assessment on account of failure of supply of water to both major and minor tanks in the Arsikere Taluk and, consequently, there was no scope for wet cultivation;

(*b*) out of these, how many were granted?

A.—The CHIEF SECRETARY to GOVERNMENT (Mr. B. T. Kesavaiengar).—

(*a*) Only one.

(*b*) This application is under investigation.

Lakkavalli Reservoir.

Q.—29. Messrs. B. P. BASAPPA SETTY and H. DEVA GOWDA (Chikmagalur Taluk).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) what is the estimate or probable cost of the proposed Lakkavalli Reservoir; when is the work proposed to be taken up and in how many years is it expected to be completed;

(*b*) what are the sources from which the outlay is proposed to be met;

(*c*) what is the number of channels that could be drawn from the reservoir; what is the length of these channels; what is the extent of lands to be irrigated under each of these channels, talukwar?

A.—The SECRETARY to GOVERNMENT, PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT (Mr. R. W. Scaldwell).—

(*a*) (*b*) and (*c*) In view of the recent negotiations with the Madras Government, the project for constructing a reservoir across the Bhadra at Lakkavalli which was considered by a committee